## Exhibit 21-10 Environmental Monitoring

Guide for Review of Water Quality						
Name of Program Participant:						
Staff Consulted:						
Name of Grant Program(s) Reviewed:						
Name of Activity/Project Reviewed:	<b>Location:</b>					
Name(s) of Reviewer(s):		Date:				
NOTE: All questions that address requirements corregulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If noncompliance. All other questions (question address requirements, but are included to as more fully and/or to identify issues that, if no Negative conclusions to these questions materials. This Exhibit is designed to every of water quality issues. One Exhibit is to be reviewed.  Questions:  1.  The theoremie to execute of a conclusion state of a conclusion.	the requirement ions that do not ssist the reviewed not properly add ay result in a "co aluate the Res completed for	is not me contain the er in unde dressed, co oncern" be sponsible r each p	et, HUD must make a ne citation for the requirestanding the participal ould result in deficient eing raised, but not a "the Entity's (RE's) or oject or activity s	finding of direment) ant's program perform finding.'	f do not ram ance.  ration	
Is the project assured of a supply of potab	ole water that	meets s	tate standards?	Yes	∐ No	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:						

21-1 09/2005

## Exhibit 21-10 Environmental Monitoring

Will the project itself cause, or substantially contribute to, off-site water pollution by storm water run-off, leaching of chemicals or other pollutants?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Is there evidence that the RE does not adequately identify and analyze water quality concerns?		Yes	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		103	
If mitigating measures are required as part of the project, has the RE			
followed through to implement these measures?	∟ Yes	⊔ No	I N
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Describe dasis for Conclusion.			

09/2005 21-2